

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0951250 DATE: <u>11/02/07</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:47 AM</u> DEPART: <u>1:45 PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK/NARCOSSEE PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 7308 NARCOSSEE ROAD			
ORLANDO			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: PHONE: (904)355-1781			
CONTACT NAME: MITCH PERINA, PLANT MANAGER PHONE: (407)273-7035			
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2007 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/9/2006 / 11/9/2011 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)			
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment			
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?   Yes  No  During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted			
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?			
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer			
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			
<ul> <li>a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and</li> </ul>			
duration?			
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector			
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?   Yes   No			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?  Yes \sum No		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
	le 🗌	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching planemissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and paving and maintenance of roads, parking area application of water or environmentally safe demissions?	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: eas, stock piles, and yards?	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of particulate matter from stock piles?	eas to reduce airborne particulate matter?  of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
o, use of spray our, chuic, of partial enclosure to fill	Mark chinosions at the grop point to the truck: Marks [140]	
<ul> <li>b) alterations to existing process equipment witho</li> <li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?</li> <li>d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-</li> </ul>	out replacement?	
Norma Ali	11/02/2007	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	11/08	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
<b>COMMENTS:</b> I attended to perform a visual emission audit at this facility. I met with Mitch, Plant Manager and Kent Bottorf, Consultant. We were informed that the tanker was running late approximately 30 minutes. First tanker (Fly Ash) started pumping @ 12:50 PM, 26.22 Tons and it will take to load up approx. 35 min. at 10-12 PSI rate, according to the tanker driver. The 2 <sup>nd</sup> Tanker (Cement) with 26 Tons, started pumping @ 1:10 PM, at 12 PSI rate and it will take approximately 45-50 min. to load up the cement.		
26.22/35 min X 60 min/1hr = 44.94 Tons/hr / Fly Ash	- 26/50 min X 60 min/1hr = 31.2 Tons/hr of Cement	
Batching ocurred during the test. Observed opacity = 0%		
Yard is paved. Sprinklers by the aggregate piles were on. The yard is swept once a week. At times it was a little windy and I observed dust blowing across the yard. No PM leaving the property was observed, although, there is potential for dust to leave the property. I asked Mitch, Plant Manager, to increase sweeping the road to more than once a week to prevent dust from leaving the property.		